

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,911	10/30/2001	Kenneth R. Williams	10018225-1	5815
7590 04/17/2007 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, LY T	
P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2853	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/015,911	WILLIAMS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Ly T. TRAN	2853				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 February 2007</u> .						
,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,13,15,16,22,23,26,28-34,36-38,40,41,44,45,47 and 51-67</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,13,15,16,22,23,26,28-34,36-38,40,41,44,45,47 and 51-67</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-4 and 26, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Simon (USPN 5,428,375).

With respect to claims 1 and 26, 28 and 29, Simon discloses:

- A first set of print bar assemblies (fig.2: element 12a, 12b) configures to transfer a first percentage of an imaging medium onto a first side of print media (S)
- A second set of print bar assemblies (fig.2: element 12c, 12d) configured to transfer a second percentage of the imaging medium onto the first side of the media (S)
- The print media (element 14) being advanced such as the second percentage of the imaging medium is transferred onto the first side of print media after the first percentage of the image medium is transferred onto the first side of print media wherein the percentages of the imaging medium transferred onto the print media with one or more print bar assemblies of the print units correspond to the number of print units.(fig.2)

Art Unit: 2853

With respect to claim 2, Simon discloses the first set of print bar assemblies transfers a first half of the imaging medium to form a first portion of a printed image on the print media and wherein the second set of the print bar assemblies transfers a second half of the image medium to form a second portion of the printed image (fig.2)

With respect to claim 3, Simon discloses wherein the first set of print bar assemblies transfers a first half of the imaging medium to form a first portion of a printed image on the print media, and wherein the second set of print bar assemblies transfers a second half of the imaging medium to form a second portion of the printed image (fig.2).

With respect to claim 3, Simon at least one other set of printbar assemblies configured to transfer a percentage of the imaging medium onto the print media (fig.2).

With respect to claim 4, Simon discloses at least one other set of printbar assemblies, an individual set of printbar assemblies configured to transfer a percentage of the imaging medium corresponding to the number of printbar assembly sets (fig.2).

With respect to claim 62, Simon disloses the first set of printbar assemblies includes printheads (12a, 12b) extending along three axes substantially perpendicular to a direction (A) in which the print media (14) is advanced.

With respect to claim 63, Simon the first set of printbar assemblies comprises a plurality of print modules; and a framework supporting and aligning the plurality of print modules such that the plurality of print modules are connected as a single assembly (Fig.2).

Art Unit: 2853

With respect to claim 64, Simon discloses each of the plurality of print modules includes a plurality of printheads (fig.2).

With respect to claim 65, Simon discloses wherein each print module includes a body connecting the plurality of printheads as a single module.

With respect to claim 66, Simon discloses the plurality of printheads (12a, 12b, 12c, 12d) overlap in the direction (A) in which the print media (14) is advanced 67.

With respect to claim 67, since Simon discloses that all of print head can be one or different color, then the imaging medium transferred by the first set of printbar (12) assemblies is a chromatic color, wherein the imaging medium transferred by the second set of printbar assemblies is the same chromatic color and wherein the first printbar assembly and the second printbar assembly transfer substantially the same percentages of the imaging medium onto the media.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 5-8, 10, 12-16, 22, 23, 30-34, 36-38, 40, 41, 44, 45, 47, 50-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simon (USPN 4,428,375) in view of Rezanka (USPN 5,570,118).

Art Unit: 2853

With respect to claims 5-8, 10, 12-16, 22, 23, 30-34, 36-38, 40, 41, 44, 45, 47, 50-61, Simon disclose:

- first set of print bar assemblies (fig.2: element 12a, 12b) configures to transfer a first percentage of an imaging medium onto a first side of print media (S)
- A second set of print bar assemblies (fig.2: element 12c, 12d) configured to transfer a second percentage of the imaging medium onto the first side of the media (S)
- Percentage of the imaging medium is transferred onto the first side of print media after the first percentage of the image medium is transferred onto the first side of print media after the first percentage of the image medium is transferred onto the first side of print media wherein the percentages of the imaging medium transferred onto the print media with one or more print bar assemblies of the print units correspond to the number of print units (fig.2)
- the first set of printbar assemblies are configured to collectively span a
 width of the imaging medium (fig.2).
- at least one of the first printbar assemblies includes multiple print heads that partially overlap one another (fig.2).
- Since Simon discloses that different colors of ink can be supplied to the
 plurality of ink jet print head, it 's obvious to one having ordinary skill in the
 art to include cyan, magenta and yellow color in the first and second head

Art Unit: 2853

of print bar assembly in order to produce black, cyan, yellow and magenta color image

- Wherein the first set of printbar assemblies includes a first printbar and a second printbar, the second printbar located downstream from the first printbar in a media feed direction (fig.2)
- at least one of the first set of printbar assemblies and the second set of printbar assemblies is configured to transfer fixer to the medium. (fig.2)

However, Simon fails to teach a heater system configured to remove moisture from the imaging medium after being transferred onto the print media (element 212, 214)

Rezanka discloses:

- a first heater (fig.1: element 212) configured to dry the first percentage of
 the imaging medium and a second heater (fig.1: element 214) configured
 to dry the second percentage of the imaging medium and the first
 percentage of the imaging medium dried with the first heater before the
 second percentage of the imaging medium is transferred onto the print
 media and the first and second heater poisoned under the print media
 (Fig.1),
- the first heater (element 212) configured to remove moisture from the first percentage of the image medium before the one or more print bar assemblies of the second print unit transfer the imaging medium onto the

Art Unit: 2853

print media (fig.1), a second heater (element 214) configured to remove moisture from the second percentage of the image medium,

- the first heater system and the second heater system each includes a component positioned to envelop a portion of the print media and remove moisture from the media (fig.1: element 212, 214)
- Removing moisture from the print media with multiple heater system
 (Fig.1: element 212, 214) and an individual heater system corresponding to an individual print unit to remove the moisture deposited along with the ink by individual print unit
- removing includes removing the moisture with the individual heater system
 (Fig.1: element 212, 214) positioned under a print media routing path
 positioned to envelop a portion of a print media routing path.
- drying the imaging medium with multiple heaters (fig.1: element 212, 214),
 an individual heater corresponding to an individual print unit to dry
 percentage of the image medium transferred onto the print media by one
 or one print bar assemblies (K, C, M, Y) of individual print unit.
- drying the imaging medium with multiple heaters (Fig.1: element 212,
 214), an individual heater corresponding to an individual printing unit one
 print bar assemblies of at least one other print unit (Element C, M Y)
- a heater configured to remove moisture from the imaging medium as the
 medium passes between the print units, wherein at least one of the print

Art Unit: 2853

1

units is configured to transfer fixer to the medium (Fig.1: element 212, 214).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the heater as taught by Razanka. The motivation of doing so is to dry the ink and prevent color bleeding.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 2/2/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

First Applicant argues that as shown by figure 2, all of print heads 12 are necessary to span the width of medium, therefore, Simon fail to disclose a second set of printbar assemblies. This argument is not persuasive because in the rejection, Examiner indicates the first set of printbar are 12a, 12b and the second set of printbar are 12c and 12d. Even al of print head 12 span, nothing in the claim recites that the second set of printbar is stationary.

Second, Applicant argues that Simon fails to disclose multiple print units which transfer percentages of image medium which correspond to the number of print units used to transfer the total amount of image medium. This argument is not persuasive because Simon discloses two set of print heads which use to print image onto the medium, each of them would transfer an mount of ink onto the medium. Nothing in the claim recites what the percentage of each set of printbar would transfer to the medium, therefore Simon meets the limitation of the claim.

Art Unit: 2853

Third, Applicant argues that neither Simon nor Rezanka disclose a printing system having print units configured to transfer imaging medium onto the same side of the medium and the heater configure ed to remove moisture from the image medium as a medium passes between the print units. This argument is not persuasive because print unit (12a, 12b) and print unit (12c, 12d) are configured to transfer imaging onto the same side of the medium as shown in figure 2, and Rezanka teaches the general idea of having the heater in between the print unit. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the heater as taught by Rezanka into the invention of Simon for remove moisture from the image.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2853

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ly T. TRAN whose telephone number is 571-272-2155. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th:6:30 AM-3:00PM or IFP, Friday: work at home.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LT

April 12, 2007

STEPHEN MEIER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER